

Automation is a bigger threat to inland California workers, study finds

Margot Roosevelt



An Amazon.com employee grabs boxes off a conveyor belt at a Fernley, Nev., warehouse. "We have now less than half travel agents than before the internet boom," one academic said about automation. "Think if that happened to truck drivers and warehouse workers." (Scott Sady / Associated Press)

Is a robot coming for your job?

That is more likely if you live in Riverside, San Bernardino, Merced or Modesto, according to a report released Thursday by the Brookings Institution. If you're in San Francisco or San Jose, you have a better chance of weathering a coming onslaught of automation and artificial intelligence.

The [new study](#) by the Washington think tank suggests that intelligent machines may well take over many more tasks from humans in the future. But it forecasts dramatic differences among cities, occupations, ethnic and racial groups, educational levels and age groups.

"California turns out to have super-stark variations — regions with both the highest and lowest exposures to disruption," said Mark Muro, director of Brookings' Metropolitan Policy Program and author of the report along with researchers Robert Maxim and Jacob Whiton.

The Brookings study comes as a slew of academic and policy group papers have sought to predict the workforce effects of a rapid revolution in robotics, machine learning and artificial intelligence. Fields such as manufacturing and clerical work have already experienced [extensive automation](#), shrinking the number of middle-class jobs. But as technology becomes less expensive and more sophisticated, it is spreading into the low-wage service economy.

The 107-page report suggests that whereas the last 25 years of automation hollowed out middle-skill employment in factories and offices, the next 15 years will have far more effect on low-skill jobs. And it calls for a major [restructuring of schools](#) and universities, stepped-up in-house training by business and ambitious government investment to strengthen the safety net for workers who lose their jobs.

“Looking back, there was no overall job apocalypse,” Muro said. “From 1980 to 2016, the economy created 54 million net new jobs. But the distribution changed: We lost a lot in the middle and saw a massive shift of American workers into low-skill employment.”

Recent automation has worsened inequality, said the report, which maps the nation’s 3,141 counties and 381 metropolitan areas according to the likely number of jobs vulnerable to disruption. “Gains have come predominantly at the low and high ends of the scale, and those have become more pronounced over time,” it said.

Over the next few decades, about a quarter of U.S. jobs will be highly exposed to automation, which the report defines as jobs for which 70% of tasks can be performed by machines. An additional 36% of jobs will experience “medium exposure,” defined as affecting 30% to 70% of tasks. The rest of the workforce would be less vulnerable.

“That one-quarter of American jobs will be seriously disrupted is sobering,” the report said. But it added that it was “somewhat reassuring ... that just half of a percent of the workforce (740,000 people) labor in roles that are 100% automatable.”

The most vulnerable jobs are those requiring less than a bachelor’s degree. In warehouses such as those in [California’s Inland Empire](#), 100% of tasks performed by packaging and filling machine operators may be automated, the report suggests. In food preparation, 91% of tasks are considered to be vulnerable. The tasks of more than half of payroll and timekeeping clerks, delivery drivers, computer network support specialists and medical assistants could be automated.

However, some low-paid workers have hard-to-automate jobs: just 11% of the work done by home health aides and just 18% of maids’ work is vulnerable.

Among the better-paid, just 4% of management analysts’ tasks and 8% of software developers’ are likely affected, the study found.

“California is a microcosm of the nation in that it runs the gamut,” Muro said. “You have the classic coastal ‘superstars,’ with low automation exposure, and your own ‘heartland,’ like areas of the Midwest, with elevated exposure.”

High math and computer employment in San Francisco and San Jose contrast with high farming employment in Stockton, Fresno and Modesto. Los Angeles, Muro said, resembles the Bay Area but has more office, factory and transportation jobs, which are vulnerable to automation. At the same time, he noted, Los Angeles’ large entertainment and creative sector makes it more resilient than inland areas.

Education levels across the U.S. and California correlate with the likelihood of automation. About half of the workers in San Jose and San Francisco have bachelor’s or graduate degrees, Muro noted, compared with about a third in Los Angeles and about a fifth or less of the workforces in Fresno, Stockton and Modesto.

Differences in employment along gender, age, race and ethnicity lines influence which groups are most susceptible to the effects of automation.

The report finds that on average 43% of tasks done by men and 40% by women are vulnerable because men are more likely to hold factory, transportation and construction jobs — all with more automatable tasks.

Among workers 24 and younger, about half are in jobs that are subject to automation, compared with about a third of workers 25 and older.

Workforce segregation also means that on average 47% of tasks performed by Hispanics, 44% by African Americans, 40% by whites and 39% by Asians are vulnerable to automation.

For example, the report notes, “Hispanic workers account for 15.5% of the American labor force and yet represent 32.6% of the workforce in [construction](#) and extraction trades. Less than 10% of education or managerial jobs are performed by Hispanic workers.”

Brookings navigates a middle ground between pessimists and optimists who have studied the effect of robotics and artificial intelligence. A 2017 [study by the Institute for Spatial Economic Analysis](#) at the University of Redlands painted

[a dark picture for California](#), relying on data from an [Oxford University study](#), rather than the McKinsey study. It found, for instance, that the Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario metro area was the third most likely in the U.S. to suffer from automation (62.6% of jobs), after Las Vegas and El Paso.

Johannes Moenius, the institute's director, said that automation could occur faster than many believe. "Brookings thinks substantial changes are likely decades away," he said. "But we see fast replacements in certain occupations.

"For example, travel agents saw rapid employment declines within just a few years, despite population and travel growth. We have now less than half travel agents than before the internet boom. Think if that happened to [truck drivers](#) and warehouse workers in Southern California."

On the more optimistic side, Vijay Gurbaxani, director of [UC Irvine's Center for Digital Transformation](#), said, "Digital technologies have the potential to greatly increase productivity and growth. AI is being touted as the next big thing, and I believe it is."

"Tasks will be automated but not in easily predicted ways," Gurbaxani said. "When retail stores put in point-of-sale terminals, the prediction was that a lot of cashier jobs would be lost. The reality was that accounting jobs were lost because it was easier to aggregate data from stores, but cashiers were still required."

Margot Roosevelt covers California economic, labor and workplace issues for the Los Angeles Times. A former environmental reporter for The Times, she previously wrote for TIME Magazine as a foreign and national correspondent, for the Washington Post on the Congressional beat, and for the Orange County Register focusing on business and the Southern California economy.